

Routledge-Giappichelli Studies in Business and Management

THE IMPACT OF ORGANIZATIONS: MEASUREMENT, MANAGEMENT AND CORPORATE REPORTING

Cristiano Busco, Costanza Consolandi, Irma Malafronte
Fabrizio Sammarco, Elisabetta Scognamiglio



G. Giappichelli Editore



LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

page

Figures

Chapter one

Figure 1.	The 17 Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations	5
-----------	--	---

Chapter three

Figure 1.	Timeline of Luiss Guido Carli non-financial reporting and sustainability documentation	33
Figure 2.	The Integrated Reporting Framework	37
Figure 3.	Italiacamp impact assessment model	38
Figure 4.	Italiacamp integrated framework	39
Figure 5.	Luiss Guido Carli's Theory of Change	42
Figure 6.	Luiss Guido Carli's value chain	44

Chapter four

Figure 1.	Impact investing ecosystem actors at a glance	58
Figure 2.	Impact investing pillars	59
Figure 3.	Overview of BlueMark's Impact Verification Services	63

Chapter five

Figure 1.	Resto al Sud stakeholder map	78
Figure 2.	Resto al Sud Theory of Change	80
Figure 3.	Quantitative impact evaluation highlights	90

Chapter six

Figure 1.	Stakeholders map	101
Figure 2.	Individual prisoner empowerment	103
Figure 3.	Main skills developed by inmates, according to the chapters	105

Chapter seven

Figure 1.	Responsible and Ethical Investment Spectrum by RIAA	118
-----------	---	-----

Chapter nine

Figure 1.	ESG Google Trend-PRI new signatories-Academic research on Sustainable finance	150
-----------	---	-----

Chapter ten

Figure 1.	ESG data of banks vs non-banks over the period 2016-2021	163
Figure 2.	ESG score vs risk	165
Figure 3.	Issuance of Corporate Green bonds by Public and Private firms (Panel A) and by Financial and Non-financial firms (Panel B) globally	167

Chapter eleven

Figure 1.	ABN AMRO's business activities	177
Figure 2.	ABN AMRO's purpose, strategy, and strategic pillars	178
Figure 3.	ABN AMRO's value-creating topics	180
Figure 4.	How we measure impact	185
Figure 5.	2021 Impact dashboard	188
Figure 6.	Why we measure impact	191

Chapter twelve

Figure 1.	Impact Value chain	197
Figure 2.	Impact management system	199
Figure 3.	Capgemini Business Model	201
Figure 4.	GHG impact steps	203
Figure 5.	Project Activity Definition - Potential carbon emission impacts from selection of IT transformation projects	204

Chapter thirteen

Figure 1.	Missio Invest countries of operation	215
Figure 2.	Investment Sectors	218
Figure 3.	Missio Invest overarching Theory of Change	219
Figure 4.	Key impact indicators tracked per borrower	223
Figure 5.	Illustrative example of data collection of one indicator	224
Figure 6.	Evolution of impact measurement system at Missio Invest	225

Chapter fourteen

Figure 1.	ESG Criteria	242
Figure 2.	Campsirago Borgo d'arte	246

Chapter fifteen

Figure 1.	Social Impact Investing: Functioning of the financial model	262
-----------	---	-----

Chapter sixteen

Figure 1.	The impact management process	281
Figure 2.	Conceptual framework of innovation district classification	286
Figure 3.	The Quadruple Helix Model	287
Figure 4.	Arexpo Public-Private Partnership with strategic value	289
Figure 5.	MIND Quadruple Helix Model of Innovation	290

Tables**Chapter two**

Table 1.	CSR and financial performance: summary statistics	20
Table 2.	CSR and financial performance: regression analysis	21
Table 3.	CSR and financial performance: robustness test findings	22
Table 4.	CSR and value: summary statistics	25
Table 5.	CSR and value: regression analysis	26
Table 6.	CSR and value: robustness test findings	27

Chapter three

Table 1.	Origin of different approaches	36
Table 2.	Luiss Guido Carli 2021 impact assessment	45

Chapter four

Table 1.	Main definitions used in the chapter	60
----------	--------------------------------------	----

Chapter five

Table 1.	The four steps of Resto al Sud evaluation process	76
Table 2.	Impact Areas - Outcomes - Stakeholders	81
Table 3.	Stakeholders sub-categories	83

Chapter six

Table 1.	Project partners	98
Table 2.	Project elements on 15 March 2022	100
Table 3.	Steps in the impact assessment process	100
Table 4.	Theory of Change of the #Ricuciamo project	102
Table 5.	The identified effects	102

Chapter ten

Table 1.	Bloomberg ESG scores and components	165
Table 2.	Banking for Impact – Our Proposal	170

Chapter eleven

Table 1.	The six capitals for impact assessment	182
Table 2.	Properties of stakeholder groups	183
Table 3.	Supporting the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)	190

Chapter twelve

Table 1.	Different meanings of impact by international regulators and institutions	196
Table 2.	Capgemini’s Impact management and reporting principles	207

Chapter fourteen

Table 1.	List of the selected projects (extract)	238
Table 2.	Evaluation Criteria	240

Chapter fifteen

Table 1.	SIINC model applied in Mexico and Honduras	263
Table 2.	SIINC model simulation for Officine Mezzogiorno	270
Table 3.	SIINC model simulation for Officine Mezzogiorno: the monetary flows	272

CONTRIBUTORS

Chiara Andreoli – PhD Candidate in Management and Sustainability at Copenhagen Business School and Honorary Research Fellow at University College London School of Management.

Marco Claudio Battarelli Martini – Cross-Industry High Value Program Lead.

Joelle Birge – Investment Director, Missio Invest.

Martina Bovi – Impact Analyst for Communities Domain at Italiacamp and Researcher at GIIM, Luiss University.

Eleonora Broccardo – PhD, Associate Professor of Corporate Finance, Department of Economics and Management at University of Trento.

Sandro Brunelli – Professor in Accounting at University of Rome Tor Vergata.

Cristiano Busco – Professor of Accounting, Reporting and Sustainability at Luiss Business School and University College London School of Management, and GIIM, Luiss University.

Alexander Carp – Corporate Strategist at ABN AMRO Bank N.V.

Francesco Checcacci – Partner, Head of Valuation and Modelling at Deloitte Financial Advisory S.r.l.

Costanza Consolandi – PhD, Associate Professor of Corporate Finance, Department of Business and Law, University of Siena and GIIM, Luiss University.

Luigi Corvo – Fixed-term Researcher of Type B, Department of Economic and Business Sciences and Law for Economics Di.SEA.DE at the University of Milan-Bicocca; Co-founder of Open Impact innovative start up and accredited research spin off of the University Milan-Bicocca.

Camilla De Nardis – Senior Consultant Sustainability, Capgemini Netherlands BV.

Fabrizio Granà – PhD, Associate Professor in Management Control at ESCP Business School.

Flavio Guella – PhD, Associate Professor of Constitutional Law, Faculty of Law, University of Trento.

Maria Federica Izzo – PhD, Associate Professor in Accounting at San Raffaele Roma Open University, Rome.

Andre Jakobs – Senior advisor at ABN AMRO Bank N.V.

Irene Litardi – PhD, Project Manager for Communities Domain at Italia-camp and Researcher at GIIM, Luiss University.

Vincenzo Lo Cascio – Head of Central Office for Employment Inmates at Italian Ministry of Justice.

Irma Malafronte – PhD, Senior Lecturer (Associate Professor) in Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Business and Law, University of Roehampton and GIIM, Luiss University.

Alberto Mina- Director at Arexpo.

Albertina Muema – Country Investment Manager, Kenya, Missio Invest.

Raffaele Parlangei – Public Manager of the Municipality of Lecce, Director of NRRP Programs and Projects, European Strategic Planning, Deputy Secretary General Expert in administrative and financial management of public investments assigned to the Presidency of the Council of Ministers – Department for digital transformation – NRRP Mission Unit to support.

Lavinia Pastore – PhD, Fixed-term Researcher of Type A, Department of Economic and Business Sciences and Law for Economics Di.SEA.DE at the University of Milan-Bicocca; Co-founder of Open Impact innovative start up and accredited research spin off of the University Milan-Bicocca.

Silvia Pellizzari – PhD, Associate Professor of Administrative Law, Faculty of Law, University of Trento.

John Pereira – PhD, Associate Professor in Finance, Kingston Business School, Kingston University, London and Associate member of the Chartered Global Management Accountant (ACMA, CGMA).

Raoul Pisani – Professor of Economics of the markets and the financial intermediaries, Department of Economics and Management at University of Trento.

Keith Polo – Managing Director, Missio Invest.

Luca Provaroni – Business consultant and Adjunct Professor in Company Auditing and Internal Control Systems, Faculty of Economics, La Sapienza University of Rome.

Andrea Roncella – PhD, Research Fellow, Center of Studies for Applied Economics (CSEA), Catholic University of Sacred Heart, Milan.

Adriana Rossi – PhD, Assistant Professor in Accounting at Pegaso University.

Gianluca Santilli – Lawyer, ESG consultant and partner LS LEXJUS SINACTA.

Elisabetta Scognamiglio – PhD, Head of Communities Domain at Italiacamp and Senior Researcher at GIIM, Luiss University.

Paolo Taticchi – Professor in Strategy and Sustainability, Vice Dean at University College London School of Management.

Ludovica Testa – Project Manager for Communities Domain at Italiacamp and Researcher at GIIM, Luiss University.

Roberto Tombolesi – Tax, ESG and Innovation Impact Management consultant- Partner LS LEXJUS SINACTA.

Alice Visconti- Community engagement manager at Arexpo.

PREFACE

This handbook was written during a time of global sudden changes: from the pandemic emergency to international conflicts up to increasingly frequent climatic and social crises.

In this context, the issues of sustainability and impact are becoming central to the strategic planning of organizations as well as for the management and mitigation of emerging risks.

Therefore, for corporate and financial organizations as well as public institutions there is a growing need of methods, tools and approaches that can help them in the management processes connected to these issues.

This acceleration is accompanied by growth of complexity regarding these two topics due to the presence of many questions that are still open today: how is it possible to define sustainability and impact? What are the links between the two approaches? Accountability and evaluation, what connection? Which reporting standards for which stakeholder categories? How can the organization go beyond reporting, towards the integrated and sustainable management of business processes?

In this scenario, through this handbook we aimed to offer our contribution putting together academic evidence and concrete experiences, following the hybrid approach changed by the Governance of Innovation and Impact Management Observatory (founded by Italiacamp and Luiss Guido Carli University) which edited this publication.

The ambition is to be a bridge between the academia and the market sector, to return know-how and useful tools to help the organization to face this systemic change. We believe that, to do this, are essential heterogeneous and multilateral points of view, skills and approaches. It is not an easy challenge that requires an alignment of languages, methods, experiences.

In this perspective, the handbook is divided into three sessions where the empirical experiences showed emergent organizational models to address the issues of sustainability and impact and academic experience captured implications that the sustainable approach had on performance and

organizational models and identified some trends and scenarios that are opening thanks to the present evidence.

The three macro-sections focused on sustainability and impact management for: corporate entities, financial sector and public institutions.

The hope is that this work could represent the starting point for the development of increasingly integrated and interconnected research, application and development processes.

The authors

Part 1

**FROM SUSTAINABILITY TO IMPACT
EVALUATION AND MANAGEMENT:
CORPORATE PERSPECTIVE**

Chapter 1

SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE AND ESG FACTORS: A NEW CHALLENGE FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM SIZED ENTERPRISES (SMEs)

Roberto Tombolesi

ABSTRACT: Managing sustainability performance is an important component of companies' efforts to generate enterprise value.

A growing body of research confirms this thesis, showing a positive relationship between sustainability performance and financial returns.

What began as a niche practice among values oriented and an effort to create a good reputation, improving own brand and positive impact while sacrificing financial returns, is now a mainstream practice where values and value have a direct and positive relationship.

ESG factors are becoming the almost of top mind for all companies and of necessity for all Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (SMEs).

All SMEs that want to maximize their ability to create market value and not only good reputation will need to develop a sustainable business strategy as opposed to a sustainability strategy. A sustainable business strategy and an ESG performance can reduce costs, improve workers productivity, mitigate risks potentials, create revenue-generating opportunities, can also impact cost of capital and contribute to success in the near, medium and long term.

But are Italian SMEs yet properly organized for this new challenge imposed by the market?

KEYWORDS: Reputation – Sustainability – ESG factors – SMEs – Companies' market value – PNRR – Supply chains – ESG metrics – ESG Frameworks – Sustainable finance.

SUMMARY: 1. Introduction. – 2. ESG and SMEs. – 3. The central role of stakeholders. – 4. Customer management of SMEs in the supply chains of large companies. – 5. ESG and corporate finance of SMEs. – 6. Additional benefits for SMEs. – 7. Barriers for SMEs adopting ESG principles. – 8. Conclusions. – References.

1. Introduction

The main objective of a company is the creation of value, traditionally considered only from a financial point of view and measured by comparing the invested capital and the return on investment. Cultural evolution and the raising of the level of civilization require companies to relate to the outside

world dutifully considering that resources and goods are not infinite. In a sustainable economy, business value generation must be durable, repeatable over time and not based on the destruction of non-reproducible resources.

For the above reasons, a company must operate in harmony with the surrounding environment and can no longer limit itself to the allocation of *capital* and the use of production factors to achieve the economic objective, remunerating them on a lasting basis. The company, beyond to give an account in order the methods of remuneration of the production factors, must necessarily be concerned with the satisfaction and the requests of the stakeholders.

The social interest, from the perspective of shareholders and directors, must be balanced with the interests of the various stakeholders in what is called “the new paradigm” (Rolli, 2020).¹

As matter of facts, the value of a company is today linked to its reputation, understood as ability to achieve the expectations and the value judgments of the stakeholders and the consequent level of appreciation (Pellegrini, 2020).

The sustainability extends to all ESG (Environmental, Social and Governance) factors and has acquired a significant impact also for small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs).

On the basis of these considerations’ questions arise.

What’s the impact of ESG factors in the world of SMEs?

Are Italian SMEs yet properly organized for this new challenge imposed by the market?

2. ESG and SMEs

SMEs play a central role in the Italian and European economy. According to recent data provided by the European Parliament² they make up 99% of EU companies. They provide two-thirds of jobs in the private sector and contribute to more than half of the total added value created by businesses in the Union.

¹ R. Rolli (2020). *L’Impatto dei fattori ESG sull’impresa*. Il Mulino, Bologna. The reference is the document presented by Martin Lipton during the International Business Council of the World Economic Forum, 2 September 2016. The new paradigm, A Roadmap of an Implicit Corporate Governance Partnership, Between Corporations and Investors to Achieve Sustainable Long-Term Investment and Growth.

² Thematic notes of the European Union: <https://www.europarl.europa.eu>.

Furthermore, the functioning of the supply chains of large companies is ensured precisely by the preponderant presence of SMEs which determine their overall level of sustainability.

On the other hand, numerous researches show that for different types of products and services the largest share of the environmental and social impact (up to 90%) is linked precisely to companies of this type. For these reasons, the Italian economy cannot achieve the global Sustainable Development Goals set out in the UN 2030 Agenda without actively involving SMEs in a process of introducing virtuous behaviours aimed at the implementation of sustainability factors and in general ESG dynamics.

Figure 1. The 17 Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations



Source: United Nations Foundation 2030 Agenda.

Without the full involvement of SMEs the transition would not occur: *“while those that don’t, won’t”* (Fundamentals of Sustainability Accounting Credential, 2021).³ But for SMEs this challenge also represents also an incredible opportunity to acquire or consolidate their competitive advantage, not only for the innovative drive that a sustainable approach produces.

The increase in the attention of consumers and the pressures of the financial community and other stakeholders, prompt companies to rethink current models and create new business models.

With a new approach of management based on sustainable business

³ Study guide SASB *Fundamentals of sustainability accounting credential*.

models, SMEs can guarantee access to certified supply chains, better conditions. Financing, strategic partnerships with public and private entities.

In addition, the dynamics of ESG must be respected by SMEs that want access to various action programs adopted and the funding adopted by the European Commission for their economic recovery, reconstruction and development following the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic (COM, 2020).⁴

Therefore, in the current economic context, adopting sustainable business models is no longer a facade choice but has become an obligation to remain competitive on the market.

SMEs that want to maintain a competitive advantage and increase their value in the long term must adopt a new managerial model focused on ESG factors of strategic integration of environmental, social issues and governance and based on dialogue and relations with the subjects that are in relationship with the stakeholders.

3. The central role of stakeholders

A company that believes in sustainability attaches great importance to the relationship with its stakeholders and puts them at the centre of its strategies.

Some studies have highlighted that for the development of a company it cannot be separated from good relations with stakeholders and their involvement is necessary not only downstream, in the phase of presentation of results in non-financial reporting, but upstream, in the setting phase of the strategic sustainability plan.

For SMEs it can be hard to know whether ESG factors will have an impact on their business.

Despite this uncertainty in the use of standards, many SMEs will need to start tracking ESG metrics soon, even though they may never publish a full externally facing Sustainability Report.

Two important areas that will drive the adoption of tracking sustainabil-

⁴The Commission communications of 10 March 2020 entitled “A new industrial strategy for Europe” (COM (2020-0102) and “A SME strategy for a sustainable and digital Europe” (COM (2020-0103), included proposals to help SME operate, grow, and expand. In response to the impact of the coronavirus pandemic on industrial supply chains and SME, in the European Parliament passed a resolution on coordinated EU action to fight the COVID-19 pandemic and its consequences in April 2020. On 25th November 2020, MEP passed a resolution calling on the Commission to present a revised industrial strategy.

ity data are customer management in the supply chains and corporate finance. In both activities, having detailed and consistent ESG metrics will give executives an advantage over the competition of SMEs.

Despite the obvious relevance of the topic, it has been amply demonstrated that SMEs are lagging large companies on the path towards adopting sustainable models.

4. Customer management of SMEs in the supply chains of large companies

The multi-national customers of SMEs are beginning to play a larger role in driving the adoption of ESG metrics and sustainability tracking.

These large companies have been focused on their internal sustainability metrics for several years, but as they start to look at where they need to generate more impact to meet their defined risk mitigation many have realized that the greatest opportunities can now be found by looking outward at their supply chains.

Many large companies, in particular listed on the stock exchange or operating on international markets, who were the first to voluntarily adopt ESG principles, orienting their strategies towards sustainability, have activated very strict control systems for suppliers.

The supply chain can, in fact, be a weak point and the correct management of suppliers is therefore becoming increasingly strategic.

Choosing reliable suppliers means minimizing inefficiencies, avoiding the damage associated with incorrect partner behaviour, improving reputation and competitiveness.

In the analysis of the weak areas of the supply chain, particular attention is now paid to the risk of reputation and economic damage that can result from poor performance in terms of sustainability.

In this context, greater involvement is required from the perspective of sustainability of SMEs that operate as suppliers, often not yet ready to change their business in a sustainable way.

For these reasons, large companies can play a very important role in orienting the productive economic system quickly, involving SMEs in their supply chains and developing the conviction that sustainability is the only possible way.

This is especially true when SMEs, as suppliers, are faced with customers with high bargaining power who are therefore able to force them towards sustainable transition.

Therefore, if large companies are forced to rethink the supply chain in

a sustainable key by the pressure of investors and consumers, even SMEs must necessarily change their strategies quickly, also because they will have to deal with increasingly stringent regulations that arrive from Europe.

Transparency and skill tracking are key factors in improving the control of the supply chain, one of the objectives that all companies should strive for.

Knowing that many SMEs lack resources and knowledge on ESG data management, some of the Italian larger companies (like ENI, ENEL, TIM, Illy, etc.) are creating novel training programs to support their SME vendors.

In the actual dynamic business environment, it is as critical as ever to not only be responsive to customers, but also to anticipate their needs. As the customers of SMEs focus more and more on their supply base to meet their sustainability goals, organizations that have taken a proactive approach to gather and manage ESG data will have a competitive advantage in both retaining customers and gaining. Starting the process of identifying and tracking ESG data, if not already begun, is the best way to stay ahead of the coming set of expectations from customers, and will position SMEs for better long-term performance.

5. ESG and corporate finance of SMEs

The competitive relaunch of SMEs after the difficult years following the recent economic crises (the reference is to the financial crisis of 2008, plastically represented by the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers) and the economic paralysis consequences of the Covid-19 pandemic, will have to be appropriately supported on a financial level.

In this regard, it is appropriate to consider that the gradual contraction of resources made available in recent years in Italy by the banking world will have to be overcome with new forms of financing and also through the subsidized finance sources made available by the states of the European Union.

The Italian financial model of recent years, which has seen the bank as its main interpreter (so-called “banca-centrico”), has produced negative effects on SMEs that are today undercapitalised and with low financial culture.

Furthermore, the banking financial system offers a limited number of financial means to expand the business of SMEs and in the last ten years it